

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/668,453	Applicant(s) CURIEL, DAVID T.	
	Examiner Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah L. Lu.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

Status of Application: After a non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2005

Time: Afternoon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 10, 12, 20-21 and 28-35.

Prior art documents discussed:

The Boudin et al. (Virology 101:144-156, 1980) was briefly discussed with respect to the issue that pIIIa protein is proteolytically processed at the N-terminus.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney Lu to propose amendments as they appear in the Examiner's amendment, so that the application is in condition for allowance. Ms. Lu accepted the proposed amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)